State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources-WT/3 101 S. Webster St. Madison, WI 53707

dnr.wi.gov

Final Report
Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management Grant Program

Form 3400-189 (R 6/08)

Page 1

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

				0 dagge - 64 a great dagge			
Report form report to DN	must be used in co	njunction with the "FIN	IAL REPORT INSTRUIS Submitted in electron	0 days after the end dat CTIONS." The instructi- ic format. If, however, point Coordinator.	ons detail how to com	plete and submit the	
1. Grant Ty	pe Please check or	le.					
Targeted Runoff Management Grant – Agricultural				Targeted Runoff Management Grant – Urban			
Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant – Construction			Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Planning				
2. Grantee	& Project Information						
Project Nar	ne .			Grant Number			
Subsurfac Report	e Stormwater Best N	lanagement Pratices D	esign Study	USP-MI01-41281-03			
Governmer	ntal Unit Name			Primary Watershed Nam	ne and Watershed Code	,	
City of St.	Francis			Kinnickinnic River - Mi01			
Nearest Water Body Name				Nearest Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable)			
DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name				s. 303 (d) Listed Waterbody? X Yes No.			
				APR 2 9 2009			
What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project (e.g., nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment, thermal control, etc.)?							
Sediment and heavy metals 8UREAU OF WATERS						MERSHED MGNI	
For <u>each</u> p	roject site location pro	ovide the following: (attac	ch additional sheets if r	ecessary)			
	Location:	Α	C	D	E		
	Division Name ship, Village, etc.)	St. Francis	St. Francis				
PLSS	Town	6 North	6 North				

	Location:	Α	В	С	D	E
Minor Civil Division Name (City, Township, Village, etc.)		St. Francis	St. Francis			
PLSS Town		6 North	6 North			
	Range	22 East	22 East			
	Section	21	21			
	Quarter	NE	SE			
	Quarter-Quarter	SE	NE			
seconds N	legrees, minutes, lorth of Equator; use Surface Water Data VDV)	42d 58m 11s N	42d 57m 58s W			
Longitude (degrees, minutes, seconds W of Prime Meridian, use the SWDV)		87d 53m 34s W	87d 53m 12s W			
Property Owner(s)	Name	City of St. Francis				
(-)	Mailing address	4235 S. Nicholson Ave, 53235				

Site address (Not mailing address)	NA		

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application.

TABLE A. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND PROHIBITIONS (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T		
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of farms with diversions		
	Number animal units		
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned		
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms		
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced	-	
	% TSS reduction		

TABLE B. OTHER WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PRIORITIES

I. Agricultural Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Buffers	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
II. Developed Urban Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		
Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume		
	Cubic feet stay-on volume		
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second		
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected		
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
III. Planning	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Quantify how implementation of the planning project	Municipalities planned for	1	NA
decreased storm water impacts on state waters (i.e., storm water plan, I & E plan, etc.)	Acres planned for	12	measure on map
Document/track progress made in implementing the	Municipalities planned for	1	NA NA
planning product (<i>i.e.</i> , ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, etc.)	Acres planned for	12	measure on map

Other (specify)				и			
believed the City could ac Bolivar Ave and one on E. reviewed the available dat after talking with other mu	to determine which the high of	hich proprietary device would pest sediment removal rates. 5 be just east of S. Clement Ave. 1 letary devices and recommend on the site as installed under another gran	locations were studied These locations are in ed that the City utilize constraints and other	and 2 were on the City's ind the Stormcer	chosen - ustrial p otor or V	one at ark. Th ortechs	S. Kansas Ave/E ne study also s device. Howeve
4. Satisfaction of Notice Rec			IL.				
If cost sharing for this project for each notice in the table l		nder a formal notice to achieve co	ompliance with performa	nce standards	s or prohi	ibitions,	provide informatio
ior each notice in the table i	oelow.	Notice Information			Notice	e Satisf	action Informatio
					Satis	fied?	
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Name)	To (Nam	e)	Yes	No	Date Letter Sei
NA							
						ПП	
5. Summary of Project Chal	endes					, <u> </u>	
devices at the time. Additional Information ab Please note that this was	out the Project ((optional)					
devices at the time. Additional Information ab Please note that this was	out the Project ((optional)					
devices at the time. 3. Additional Information ab Please note that this was adopt so B3 does not app	out the Project (a a study of a tr ly.	(optional)					
devices at the time. 3. Additional Information ab Please note that this was adopt so B3 does not app	out the Project (a a study of a tr ly.	(optional)					
A. Construction Pi	out the Project (s a study of a tri ly. pjects	(optional)	ce or plan that was cre	ated. There v	were no	docum	ents for the City
A. Construction Portion A.1. Checking here Coordinator.	out the Project (s a study of a trily. Djects rojects indicates that a	(optional) reatment device, not a ordinand	ce or plan that was cres	ated. There v	were no	docum	ents for the City (
A.1. Checking here Coordinator. A.2. Checking here B. Planning Projects	out the Project (a a study of a tr ly. ojects rojects indicates that a	(optional) reatment device, not a ordinance printed copy of project plans and	specifications was sent	to your DNR	were no	Nonpoi	ents for the City (
A.1. Checking here Coordinator. A.2. Checking here B. Planning Projects	out the Project (a a study of a trily. ojects rojects indicates that a indicates that a planting that a pl	(optional) reatment device, not a ordinand	specifications was sent	to your DNR	were no	Nonpoi	ents for the City (
A. Construction Proceedings of the Coordinator. A.2. Checking here B. Planning Projects B.1. Checking here in Nonpoint Source Coordinator.	out the Project (s a study of a trily. Diects rojects indicates that a indicates that a predicates	(optional) reatment device, not a ordinance printed copy of project plans and	specifications was sent	to your DNR	vere no	Nonpoi	ents for the City (
A.1. Checking here in Nonpoint Source Cooking here in Sa.2. Checking here in Sa.2. Checking here in Sa.2. Checking here in Nonpoint Source Cooking here in Sa.2. Checking here in Nonpoint Source Cooking here in Sa.2. Checking here in Nonpoint Source Cooking here in Sa.2. Checking here in Sa.2. Checking here in Sa.3. Chec	out the Project (s a study of a trily. Diects rojects indicates that a indicates that a pridicates that a pridicates that a nordinator. Indicates that the indicates that the indicates that the indicates that you	coptional) reatment device, not a ordinance printed copy of project plans and hoto-documentation of the project	specifications was sent t's construction is attach uct (e.g., plans, ordinand	to your DNR ed. es, analyses) e final Plannii	vere no	Nonpoi	ents for the City (
A.1. Checking here i Nonpoint Source Cocuments. B.3. Checking here i Name of Planning Documer.	out the Project (s a study of a trily. Dijects rojects indicates that a indicates that a prodinator. Indicates that the indicates that the indicates that the indicates that the indicates that you int(s)	printed copy of project plans and hoto-documentation of the project printed copy of the planning produce Regional Nonpoint Source Cooker governmental unit has adopted	specifications was sent t's construction is attach uct (e.g., plans, ordinand	to your DNR led.	vere no	Nonpoi	ents for the City (
A. Construction Product(s) — All Product	out the Project (s a study of a trily. Dijects rojects indicates that a indicates that a prodinator. Indicates that the indicates that the indicates that the indicates that the indicates that you int(s)	printed copy of project plans and hoto-documentation of the project printed copy of the planning produce Regional Nonpoint Source Cooker governmental unit has adopted	specifications was sent t's construction is attach act (e.g., plans, ordinance redinator has approved the	to your DNR led.	vere no	Nonpoi	ents for the City of the city
A. Construction Product(s) — All Product(s) — A.1. Checking here Coordinator. A.2. Checking here in Nonpoint Source Coordinates — B.1. Checking here in Nonpoint Source Coordinates — B.2. Checking here in Name of Planning Documer City of St. Francis Study of St. Grantee Certification:	out the Project (s a study of a trily. ojects rojects indicates that a indicates that a pordinator. ndicates that the ndicates that you not (s) of Proprietary E	printed copy of project plans and hoto-documentation of the project printed copy of the planning produce Regional Nonpoint Source Cooker governmental unit has adopted Devices	specifications was sent t's construction is attach uct (e.g., plans, ordinand rdinator has approved the	to your DNR ed. es, analyses) e final Plannii	Regional was sen	Nonpoint to you ct(s).	ents for the City of the city
A. Construction Product(s) — All Product(s) — A.1. Checking here Coordinator. A.2. Checking here in Nonpoint Source Coordinates — B.1. Checking here in Nonpoint Source Coordinates — B.2. Checking here in Name of Planning Documer City of St. Francis Study of St. Francis Study of St. Grantee Certification:	out the Project (s a study of a trily. Diects rojects indicates that a indicates that a indicates that the prodinator. Indicates that the indicates that you interest in the properties of Proprietary E	printed copy of project plans and hoto-documentation of the project printed copy of the planning produce Regional Nonpoint Source Cooker governmental unit has adopted bevices	specifications was sent t's construction is attach uct (e.g., plans, ordinand rdinator has approved the	to your DNR ed. es, analyses) e final Plannii	Regional was sen	Nonpoint to you ct(s).	ents for the City tint Source
A. Construction Product(s) — All Product(s) — A.1. Checking here Coordinator. A.2. Checking here in Nonpoint Source Coordinates — B.1. Checking here in Nonpoint Source Coordinates — B.2. Checking here in Name of Planning Documer City of St. Francis Study of St. Francis Study of St. Grantee Certification:	out the Project (s a study of a trily. Djects rojects indicates that a indicates that a indicates that the ordinator. Indicates that you of Proprietary E es that, to the best of Authorized	printed copy of project plans and hoto-documentation of the project printed copy of the planning produce Regional Nonpoint Source Coolar governmental unit has adopted bevices	specifications was sent t's construction is attach uct (e.g., plans, ordinand rdinator has approved the	to your DNR ed. es, analyses) e final Plannii	Regional was sen	Nonpoint to you ct(s).	ents for the City of the city

Form 3400-189 (R 6/08)	Page 4
9. FOR DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY	
REGIONAL NONPOINT COORDINATOR Please complete the following:	
Checking here indicates that you received either planning or construction plans and speak Attach a copy of the approval.	ecifications from the project sponsor, as appropriate.
Checking here indicates that you approved the final construction. Attach a copy of the	final construction approval.
Checking here indicates that you have approved the final Planning Product(s).	
Check here if two (2) signed, original copies of the Final Report and attachments have Coordinator. Note: Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator may retain one (1) copy of	
Type or print Name of Regional Nonpoint Coordinator	
Signature of Regional Nonpoint Coordinator	Date

Final Report Targeted Runoff Management and Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Programs